

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/328,742 06/06/1999 ALEXANDROS MAKRIYANNIS UCON/141/US 7228 EXAMINER 2543 05/24/2005 7590 ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL 750 MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1400** HARTFORD, CT 06103 1616

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
• •	09/328,742	MAKRIYANNIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Alton N. Pryor	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	L	orrespondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	anuary 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	÷
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9,21 and 23-27 is/are pending in the	e application.	.•	0
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 7-9 is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>23-25 and 27</u> is/are allowed.		r _e g :	177
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,21 and 26</u> is/are rejected.			V
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		*	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	• •	•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			1000
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
		(1) (6)	9
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).	-
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received		
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			•
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08). 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Page 1	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	PD.
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/29/04: 27/05; 5/11/04	6) Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Applicant's arguments filed 1/27/05 with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of rejection below.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3,5,6,21,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a related subgenus of compounds used in instant method of inhibiting anandamide transport, does not reasonably provide enablement for using all instant compounds as anandamide transport inhibitors. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Because the functional groups on the core structure of formula (X-Y-Z) are many and have different sizes, polarity and electronegativity, the activity of compounds of formula (X-Y-Z) would be questionable. The predictability in this art is high since a small change in a functional feature could result in a drastic change in activity and such a change can also result in an opposite effect or activity. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would be a big job to determine the effect of all of the claimed structural changes and especially since Applicant provides no Examples for guidance

Application/Control Number: 09/328,742 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

(experimental data) as to which compounds would provide desired activity. Because of this large burden (determination of which compounds would render desired results), Examiner would like to point out that Applicant would be entitled to a subgenus of what is being claimed. Examiner stresses that the subgenus created should be a group of related compounds in terms of size, polarity and electronegativity for the instant method. Size of a compound determines its ability to fit into the receptor site. Polarity and electronegativity determine binding interactions between the functionality of drug (compound) and the functionality of the drug receptor site. Both of these factors should be heavily considered in the election of a subgenus group of compounds for the instant invention.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/328,742 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

Business (Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AU 1616